

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/470,299

Applicant(s)

YEO ET AL.

Examiner

Andy S. Rao

Art Unit

2621

All Participants:(1) Andy S. Rao.(2) Vincent Wen Jeng Lue (#56,564).**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2007**Time:** 3:00 EST**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

12 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

~~ANDY RAO~~~~EXAMINER~~

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Lue to correct claim dependency inconsistencies with claims 12 and 20, and confirmed that the claims should depend from claims 9 and 17, respectively. The Examiner agreed to make such changes with a forthcoming Examiner's amendment and issue a Supplemental Notice of Allowance containing said amendment to the claims..